Page 1 STATE OF ILLINOIS ) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K ) THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD RECEIVED JULY 26, 2011 CLEAKS OFFICE IN THE MATTER OF: AUG 09 2011 245 STATE OF ILLINOIS TRIENNIAL REVIEW OF WATER Pollution Control Board QUALITY STANDARDS FOR BORON, FLUORIDE, AND MANGANESE: R11-18 AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 302, SUBPARTS B, C, E, F, AND 303.312

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS at the hearing of the above-entitled cause before the Kathleen Crowley, Hearing Officer, taken before Rebecca A. Graziano, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at the James R. Thompson Center, Room 2-025, Chicago, Illinois, commencing at the hour of 11:00 a.m. on the 26th day of July, A.D., 2011.

```
Page 2
 1
                      APPEARANCES
         THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD:
 3
         Ms. Kathleen Crowley
 4
         Ms. Alisa Liu
         Mr. Gary Blankenship
         Ms. Carrie Zalewski
 5
 6
         SCHIFF HARDIN LLP,
 7
         6600 Sears Tower
         Chicago, IL 60606
 8
         (312) 258-4720
         BY:
             MS. KATHLEEN C. BASSI
 9
              MS. AMY ANTONIOLLI
         Appeared on behalf of Southern Illinois Power
10
         Cooperative,
11
12
         ALBERT ETTINGER,
         53 West Jackson
13
         Suite 1664
         Chicago, Illinois 60604
14
         (773) 818-4825
15
         Appeared on behalf of the Sierra Club.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
MS. CROWLEY: Good morning. My name
```

- is Kathleen Crowley, and I am the assigned hearing
- officer in this proceeding. This is the second of
- 4 two presently scheduled hearings being conducted by
- 5 the Illinois Pollution Control Board in the matter
- of Triennial Review of Water Quality Standards for
- Boron, Fluoride, and Manganese, amendments to 35
- 8 Illinois Admin Code 302, Subparts B, C, E, F and
- 9 Section 303.312. This is the board's docket R
- 10 11-18.
- 11 At today's hearing, the board will
- continue its receipt of testimony on the merits and
- economic impact of the Illinois Environmental
- 14 Protection Agency's December 2nd, 2010, regulatory
- proposal.
- Seated to my immediate right is
- board member Carrie Zalewski, who is a coordinating
- board member for this proceeding. Seated to her
- immediate right is environmental scientist Alisa
- Liu, and to my immediate left is board member Gary
- 21 Blankenship.
- Member Zalewski, did you have
- anything you wanted to say at this point?
- MS. ZALEWSKI: Just thank you again

- for the pre-filed comments and testimony. We
- <sup>2</sup> appreciate it.
- MS. CROWLEY: In the statement of
- 4 reasons accompanying the proposal, the Illinois EPA
- 5 stated that this is the culmination of the triennial
- 6 review forum for standards required by the Federal
- 7 Water Commission Control Act, or Clean Water Act.
- 8 The proposal includes updated
- 9 water quality standards for boron, fluoride and
- manganese, and a handful of clean-up amendments and
- updates to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part 302,
- as well as a repeal of Section 303.312.
- 13 At this point, I'd like to ask for
- 14 appearances from the agency and the other
- participants.
- MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Deborah Williams on
- behalf of Illinois EPA.
- MR. ETTINGER: I'm Albert Ettinger on
- 19 behalf of the Sierra Club.
- MS. CROWLEY: Thank you.
- MS. ZEMAN: Christine Zeman on behalf
- of the City of Springfield, CWLP.
- MS. BASSI: Kathleen Bassi on behalf
- of Southern Illinois Power Cooperative.

- MS. ANTONIOLLI: Amy Antoniolli on
- behalf of Southern Illinois Power Cooperative.
- MS. CROWLEY: And I believe that's all
- 4 the appearances for today.
- Just some general introductory
- 6 remarks, all hearings before the board are
- 7 transcribed by the court reporter you see with us
- 8 today, so we will ask that persons speak one at a
- 9 time, and that you speak loudly enough to be heard.
- 10 All witnesses will be sworn in by the court reporter
- prior to giving testimony, but you can give a public
- comment later in the hearing without being sworn in.
- Any person may ask questions of
- any witness giving testimony, but please wait until
- 15 I call on you, either vocally or by gesture, before
- you start speaking. Questions asked by the board
- and staff are not intended to indicate any
- 18 prejudgment of the merits of the testimony or of the
- 19 proposal. They are asked to make sure there is a
- full and complete record for a deliberation by the
- three other board members not present today.
- We'll begin with the -- excuse me.
- We'll begin with testimony on behalf of the Southern
- 24 Illinois Power Cooperative, and that is because, to

- streamline the hearing, I issued a hearing officer's
- order on May 3rd and June 14th. The orders ask
- persons to pre-file testimony. The only testimony
- filed in response to these orders was the testimony
- of Leonard Hopkins filed July 5th, 2011, on behalf
- 6 of SIPC.
- 7 Following the completion of
- 8 Mr. Hopkins' testimony, we will receive testimony of
- 9 any other person who wishes to present testimony or
- 10 comments. And then also before the close of the
- hearing today, we will ask the Agency if they have
- any -- compiled any information in response to some
- questions we asked at the last hearing. Is that all
- reasonably clear? Mr. Ettinger.
- MR. ETTINGER: I would appreciate if
- public comments went after we heard from the IEPA
- 17 responses to questions posed at the last hearing if
- 18 that's okay.
- MS. WILLIAMS: It was not my intention
- to present the responses before the comment period.
- I mean, we certainly can discuss how to arrange for
- the timing of those things if there are things
- 23 that --
- MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. I thought I

- 1 understood the hearing officer to say you were going
- 2 to present --
- MS. WILLIAMS: She did. That was the
- 4 first I heard of it though.
- 5 MR. ETTINGER: So if you're not, then
- 6 that changes everything.
- 7 MS. CROWLEY: I'm sorry. I thought
- 8 that you were going to be bringing us answers to
- 9 those questions at this hearing, so I guess I'm
- wrong too.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Well, I apologize. I
- may have -- it may have been my mistake.
- MS. CROWLEY: Okay. Thank you. So
- 14 Ms. Bassi?
- MS. BASSI: Thank you, Madam Hearing
- Officer. As I stated earlier, I am Kathleen Bassi
- 17 at Schiff Hardin on behalf of Southern Illinois
- Power Cooperative. Today Leonard Hopkins is here on
- behalf of SIPC, and I would like to have his
- 20 pre-filed testimony entered as an exhibit in this
- 21 record.
- Mr. Hopkins, is this a copy of the
- testimony that we filed on your behalf?
- MR. HOPKINS: Yes, it certainly

- 1 appears to be.
- MS. BASSI: Thank you. And Madam
- 3 Hearing Officer, I would like to have this entered
- 4 into the record.
- MS. CROWLEY: Thank you. My next
- exhibit number is Exhibit 8, so we will --
- 7 MS. BASSI: I'm sorry. Exhibit?
- MS. CROWLEY: My next exhibit number
- 9 is Exhibit Number 8, so we'll mark it and save this
- 10 pre-filed testimony, and the testimony includes the
- 11 attachment of the board's opinion in the order
- 12 AS 92-10 I had -- in answer to Ms. Bassi's question,
- 13 I had asked that it be made a single exhibit for
- everyone's convenience. Thank you.
- MS. BASSI: Thank you. Mr. Hopkins
- has a summary of his testimony to present today, and
- then we'll be available for questions.
- 18 (Witness sworn.)
- MR. HOPKINS: Good morning, Madam
- Hearing Officer, members of the Illinois Pollution
- 21 Control Board, and technical staff. My name is
- Leonard Hopkins, and I thank you for allowing me the
- opportunity to submit testimony on behalf of
- 24 Southern Illinois Power Cooperative.

- I am the environmental and fuel
- 2 manager at SIPC's Marion plant, located south of
- 3 Marion, Illinois, in Williamson county. I am
- 4 responsible for environmental compliance and fuel
- 5 procurement at Marion Station. I've work for SIPC
- for 18 years, with the last four being in my current
- 7 position.
- In my pre-filed testimony, I
- 9 explain that IEPA's proposed rulemaking, part of
- which amend the boron water quality standard for
- aquatic life, caught SIPC's attention. The reason
- is that SIPC's Marion Station currently operates
- under an adjusted standard for the boron rules, yet
- 14 IEPA's proposal on Page 31 of the statement of
- reasons identify Marion Station as a facility that
- would no longer require such relief.
- 17 SIPC contacted IEPA, and we
- discussed the proposed rule. SIPC's operating
- 19 conditions at the Marion Station, and the fact that
- 20 although SIPC would comply with the proposal chronic
- standard of 7.6 milligrams per liter most of the
- time, there still would be exceedances. Therefore,
- I expressed SIPC's preference to continue to operate
- under the terms of the adjusted standard.

- 1 IEPA has since recognized SIPC's
- preference and did not include SIPC's Marion Station
- in the list of sources it presented to the board at
- 4 the last hearing, whose relief would either become
- 5 moot or no longer be necessary. I asked the board
- 6 also recognize the continued effectiveness of SIPC's
- 7 relief by either noting it in the board's opinion
- 8 and order, or at a minimum, not categorizing SIPC as
- <sup>9</sup> a source for whom relief should no longer be
- necessary.
- 11 Again, thank you for the
- opportunity to be able to explain our situation and
- 13 SIPC's position, and I am happy to answer any
- 14 questions.
- MR. ETTINGER: Hello. I am Albert
- 16 Ettinger. As I mentioned, I represent the Sierra
- 17 Club in this proceeding. I just have a few
- questions as to what isn't here.
- Do you have any information
- regarding the biology that suggests that a standard
- 21 higher than 7.6 milligrams per liter as a chronic
- standard is too strong?
- MR. HOPKINS: We would have what was
- in the original adjusted standard.

- MR. ETTINGER: Okay. So you have
- what's in the original adjusted standard. You're
- not, in this proceeding, putting in any additional
- 4 information regarding the biology of the effects of
- 5 boron?
- 6 MR. HOPKINS: We did not do an
- 7 additional test or study.
- 8 MR. ETTINGER: And as I understand
- 9 your problem, over a long run, you are under an
- average of 7.6 milligrams per liter of boron, but
- that there are monthly averages in which you would
- 12 violate it. Is that correct?
- MR. HOPKINS: That is correct.
- MR. ETTINGER: Thank you. That's all
- 15 I have.
- MS. CROWLEY: Thank you. Are there
- other questions for this witness? There don't seem
- 18 to be.
- We very much appreciate that
- you're explaining your situation and putting it on
- the record what you feel would solve your particular
- 22 problem. That's always very helpful.
- MR. HOPKINS: Thank you very much.
- MS. CROWLEY: Is there anyone else who

- would care to make a public comment or present
- 2 testimony?
- MR. ETTINGER: I guess it's a public
- 4 comment. I just have some -- this is Albert
- 5 Ettinger again on behalf of the Sierra Club.
- 6 MS. CROWLEY: And since it is a public
- 7 comment, we are not swearing in this individual, and
- 9 public comments receive different testimony --
- 9 MR. ETTINGER: Well, my comments are
- 10 really questions.
- MS. CROWLEY: That's fine.
- MR. ETTINGER: So there's no
- information content here. In any case, we were told
- or it is stated in the Agency's papers, it's our
- understanding that the reason -- a reason why it was
- thought all right to raise the drinking water
- standard for manganese was that drinking water
- suppliers can easily remove manganese from their
- 19 water supplies.
- Our question that we hope will be
- 21 answered in the transcript is: Is there a cost
- 22 associated with this removal? Perhaps that's one of
- the questions the board also already asked, or it
- was answered in some document we haven't seen or in

- another proceeding, but that is a question we would
- 2 like answered.
- MS. CROWLEY: If we can just go off
- 4 the record a second.
- 5 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- 7 MS. CROWLEY: We'll go back on the
- 8 record now. If the Agency cares to address that, I
- 9 would appreciate it if the Agency addresses it in
- written comments specifically. We have had the
- issue addressed somewhat, but additional input in
- response to this specific request, as well as any
- other questions we may get. Mr. Ettinger, please.
- MR. ETTINGER: Also, I guess this is
- another question. Boron and fluoride, it was
- apparently our understanding elected to keep the
- 17 Lake Michigan standards for boron and fluoride as to
- drinking water supplies. And as to manganese, the
- 19 Lake Michigan standards were kept for manganese.
- However, we raised the manganese drinking water
- 21 standard.
- We have a question as to why
- there's -- how we would justify the difference in
- 24 handling of manganese versus boron and fluoride

- 1 vis-a-vis the Lake Michigan standards and the
- 2 drinking water standards. That, again, is a
- question that perhaps was already raised, and I
- 4 apologize, but that was another question we have.
- 5 The last one is with regard to the
- 6 clarification of the method to be used to determine
- 7 cyanide, what percentage typically of WAD -- I'm
- 8 trying to remember what that stands for -- what is
- 9 the typical percentage of WAD of total cyanide, what
- percentage typically of -- is it week available?
- Week associable -- what percentage typically is
- 12 available cyanide of total cyanide, and what measure
- of cyanide is used in toxicity testing.
- 14 My final question would be to
- whether the agency is now looking at the most recent
- detection methods for cyanide.
- MS. CROWLEY: I'm sorry. I didn't
- hear the last word.
- MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. Is the
- 20 Agency now using the latest USEPA approved detection
- 21 method for cyanide.
- MS. CROWLEY: Thank you.
- MR. ETTINGER: That's all my
- 24 questions.

- MS. WILLIAMS: And one thing -- I
- mean, I just -- to update for the record for the
- board, that was one of the issues that the board
- 4 raised about how we had come up with the methods for
- 5 cyanide, and that's something we're developing a
- 6 more detailed response that may involve --
- <sup>7</sup> suggesting to change our original portfolio on that.
- But we were trying to get all the
- 9 responses put together. Most of them we are
- gathering data and citations for the board, and we
- should be done with them soon.
- MR. ETTINGER: And having been part of
- some long PCB proceedings, I don't wish to
- 14 necessarily delay this, but we will read their
- responses, and if questions are raised by that, we
- may request some further proceedings. I'm not
- saying that's going to happen. I just don't want
- anyone to express shock later when we do -- if we
- 19 do.
- MS. CROWLEY: Are there any other
- questions or comments at this point? It does not
- appear that there are, so we now get into the "what
- happens next" phase of this.
- Today we need to set a date for

- the closing of post hearing comments. Following the
- 2 close of the comment period, the board will make a
- decision as to whether and how the proposal will
- 4 proceed.
- 5 As we've explained earlier in this
- 6 proceeding, the board must follow the rulemaking
- 7 steps under the Environmental Protection Act and
- 8 under the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act, the
- 9 APA requires that the board publish proposed rules
- in the Illinois register and receive public comment
- 11 periods for at least 35 days after publication.
- 12 So the board will consider
- everything that is produced as a result of the
- questions asked at the last hearing, today's
- hearing, and will then publish an order of some
- 16 sort. The typical post hearing comment period is
- 17 14 days, and transcripts are due to the board from
- the court reporter by the eighth business day after
- 19 a hearing.
- We'll go off the record for a
- 21 moment.
- 22 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- MS. CROWLEY: We'll go back on the

```
1
     record.
                        We've had a little bit of a
 2
 3
     discussion as to what would be agreeable public
 4
     comment dates. The Agency has stated that it
 5
     believes it can get its responses to questions asked
 6
     at both hearings and anything else it may wish to
 7
     say in by August 19th, and any person's responses to
     the Agency's statements or questions or responsive
 9
     comments would be due August 9th.
10
                    MR. ETTINGER: September.
11
                    MS. CROWLEY:
                                  September 9th.
12
     you. And if there is nothing else, then the hearing
13
     is adjourned. Thank you all very much.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 18
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS )
                             SS
 2
     COUNTY OF COOK
 3
                         REBECCA A. GRAZIANO, being first
 5
 6
     duly sworn on oath, says that she is a court
 7
     reporter doing business in the City of Chicago, that
     she reported in shorthand the proceedings given at
 9
     the taking of said hearing, and that the foregoing
10
     is a true and correct transcript of her shorthand
     notes so taken as aforesaid, and contains all the
11
     proceedings given at said hearing.
12
13
14
15
                    REBECCA A. GRAZIANO, CSR
16
                    Eight West Monroe Street, Suite 2007
                    Chicago, Illinois
                                         60603
17
                    License No.: 084-004659
18
19
     SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO
     before me this 21/2 day
20
     Of AUGUST
           nuhely
21
            Notary Public
22
                   OFFICIAL SEAL
23
                NICHOLAS A PERAZZOLO
              NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF ILLINOIS
               MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:03/14/15
24
```

A	although	8:11	5:16,21	Clean 4:7	10:6
	9:20	attention	8:21 10:3,5	clean-up	Control 1:3
able 10:12	always 11:22	9:11	12:23 15:3	4:10	2:2 3:5 4:7
about 15:4	amend 9:10	August 17:7	15:3,10	clear 6:14	8:21
above-entit	amendments	17:9	16:2,6,9,12	close 6:10	convenience
1:11	1:7 3:7 4:10	available	16:17	16:2	8:14
accompany	Amy 2:9 5:1	8:17 14:10	board's 3:9	closing 16:1	Cook 1:14
4:4	another 13:1	14:12	8:11 10:7	Club 2:15	18:2
Act 4:7,7	13:15 14:4	average	<b>boron</b> 1:6 3:7	4:19 10:17	Cooperative
16:7,8	answer 8:12	11:10	4:9 9:10,13	12:5	2:10 4:24
additional	10:13		11:5,10	Code 1:8 3:8	5:2,24 7:18
11:3,7	answered	averages 11:11	13:15,17,24	4:11	8:24
13:11	12:21,24	<b>A.D</b> 1:17	both 17:6	come 15:4	1
address 13:8	13:2	18:20			coordinating 3:17
addressed	answers 7:8		bringing 7:8 business	commencing 1:16	
13:11		<b>a.m</b> 1:16			copy 7:22
addresses	Antoniolli	В	16:18 18:7	comment	correct 11:12
13:9	2:9 5:1,1	B 1:8 3:8	<u>C</u>	5:12 6:20	11:13 18:10
adjourned	anyone 11:24 15:18	back 13:7	C 1:2,8 2:1,8	12:1,4,7	cost 12:21
17:13		16:24	3:8	16:2,10,16	county 1:2,14
adjusted	anything	Bassi 2:8	call 5:15	17:4	9:3 18:2
9:13,24	3:23 17:6	4:23,23	care 12:1	comments	court 5:7,10
10:24 11:2	<b>APA</b> 16:9	7:14,15,16	cares 13:8	4:1 6:10,16	16:18 18:6
<b>ADM</b> 1:7	apologize	8:2,7,15	Carrie 2:5	12:8,9	Crowley 1:11
Admin 3:8	7:11 14:4	Bassi's 8:12	3:17	13:10 15:21	2:3 3:1,2
Administr	apparently	become 10:4	case 12:13	16:1 17:9	4:3,20 5:3
4:11 16:8	13:16	before 1:11	categorizing	Commission	7:7,13 8:5,8
aforesaid	appear 15:22	1:12 5:6,15	10:8	4:7	11:16,24
18:11	appearances	6:10,20	caught 9:11	compiled	12:6,11
<b>after</b> 6:16	4:14 5:4	18:19	cause 1:11	6:12	13:3,7
16:11,18	Appeared	begin 5:22,23	Center 1:15	complete	14:17,22
again 3:24	2:10,15	behalf 2:10	certainly	5:20	15:20 16:24
10:11 12:5	appears 8:1	2:15 4:17	6:21 7:24	completion	17:11
14:2	appreciate	4:19,21,23	Certified	6:7	CSR 18:15
agency 4:14	4:2 6:15	5:2,23 6:5	1:13	compliance	culmination
6:11 13:8,9	11:19 13:9	7:17,19,23	<b>change</b> 15:7	9:4	4:5
14:15,20	approved	8:23 12:5	changes 7:6	comply 9:20	current 9:6
17:4	14:20	<b>being</b> 3:4	Chicago 1:15	conditions	currently
Agency's	aquatic 9:11	5:12 9:6	2:7,13 18:7	9:19	9:12
3:14 12:14	arrange 6:21	18:5	18:16	conducted	CWLP 4:22
17:8	asked 5:16	believe 5:3	Christine	3:4	cyanide 14:7
agreeable	5:19 6:13	believes 17:5	4:21	consider	14:9,12,12
17:3	8:13 10:5	biology 10:20	chronic 9:20	16:12	14:13,16,21
Albert 2:12	12:23 16:14	11:4	10:21	contacted	15:5
4:18 10:15	17:5	bit 17:2	citations	9:17	D
12:4	assigned 3:2		15:10	contains	data 15:10
Alisa 2:4	associable	Blankenship 2:4 3:21	•	18:11	
3:19	14:11	2:4 3:21 board 1:3 2:2	City 4:22 18:7	content 12:13	date 15:24
allowing 8:22	associated		18:7 clarification	continue	dates 17:4
already	12:22	3:5,11,17	14:6	3:12 9:23	day 1:17
12:23 14:3	attachment	3:18,20 5:6	14.0	continued	16:18 18:19

days 16:11	effects 11:4	filed 6:4,5	happens	16:8,10	9:6 10:4
16:17	<b>Eight</b> 18:16	7:23	15:23	18:1,16	14:5,18
Deborah	eighth 16:18	final 14:14	happy 10:13	immediate	16:14
4:16	either 5:15	fine 12:11	Hardin 2:6	3:16,19,20	later 5:12
December	10:4,7	first 7:4 18:5	7:17	impact 3:13	15:18
3:14	elected 13:16	fluoride 1:7	having 15:12	include 10:2	latest 14:20
decision 16:3	enough 5:9	3:7 4:9	hear 14:18	includes 4:8	least 16:11
delay 15:14	entered 7:20	13:15,17,24	heard 5:9	8:10	left 3:20
deliberation	8:3	follow 16:6	6:16 7:4	indicate 5:17	Leonard 6:5
5:20	environme	Following	hearing 1:10	individual	7:18 8:22
detailed 15:6	3:13,19 9:1	6:7 16:1	1:12 3:2,11	12:7	License
detection	9:4 16:7	foregoing	5:12 6:1,1	information	18:17
14:16,20	EPA 4:4,17	18:9	6:11,13,17	6:12 10:19	life 9:11
determine	Ettinger 2:12	<b>forum</b> 4:6	7:1,9,15 8:3	11:4 12:13	like 4:13 7:19
14:6	4:18,18	four 9:6	8:20 10:4	input 13:11	8:3 13:2
developing	6:14,15,24	from 4:14	16:1,14,15	intended	list 10:3
15:5	7:5 10:15	6:16 12:18	16:16,19	5:17	liter 9:21
difference	10:16 11:1	16:17	17:12 18:9	intention	10:21 11:10
13:23	11:8,14	fuel 9:1,4	18:12	6:19	little 17:2
different	12:3,5,9,12	full 5:20	hearings 3:4	introductory	Liu 2:4 3:20
12:8	13:13,14	further 15:16	5:6 17:6	5:5	LLP 2:6
discuss 6:21	14:19,23	ruituei 13.10	Hello 10:15	involve 15:6	located 9:2
discussed	15:12 17:10	G	helpful 11:22	issue 13:11	long 11:9
9:18	everyone's	Gary 2:4	her 3:18	issued 6:1	15:13
discussion	8:14	3:20	18:10	issues 15:3	longer 9:16
13:5 16:22	everything	gathering	higher 10:21	188ucs 13.3	10:5,9
17:3	7:6 16:13	15:10	hope 12:20	J	looking
docket 3:9	exceedances	general 5:5	<b>Hopkins</b> 6:5	Jackson 2:12	14:15
document	9:22	gesture 5:15	6:8 7:18,22	James 1:15	loudly 5:9
12:24	excuse 5:22	give 5:11	7:24 8:15	July 1:4,17	Touting 5.9
doing 18:7	exhibit 7:20	given 18:8,12	8:19,22	6:5	M
done 15:11	8:6,6,7,8,9	giving 5:11	10:23 11:6	June 6:2	<b>Madam</b> 7:15
drinking	8:13	5:14	11:13,23	just 3:24 5:5	8:2,19
12:16,17	explain 9:9	<b>go</b> 13:3,7	hour 1:16	10:17 12:4	made 8:13
13:18,20	10:12	16:20,24	1.10	13:3 15:2	make 5:19
14:2	explained	<b>going</b> 7:1,8	I	15:17	12:1 16:2
due 16:17	16:5	15:17	identify 9:15	justify 13:23	manager 9:2
17:9	explaining	<b>Good</b> 3:1	IEPA 6:16		manganese
duly 18:6	11:20	8:19	9:17 10:1	K	1:7 3:7 4:10
uuiy 18.0	express 15:18	Graziano	IEPA's 9:9	<b>K</b> 1:2	12:17,18
E	express 13.16	1:12 18:5	9:14	Kathleen	13:18,19,20
E 1:8 2:1,1	9:23	18:15	IL 2:7	1:11 2:3,8	13:24
3:8	9.23	guess 7:9	ILL 1:7	3:2 4:23	<b>Marion</b> 9:2,3
earlier 7:16	F	12:3 13:14	Illinois 1:1,3	7:16	9:5,12,15
16:5	F 1:8 3:8		1:14,16 2:2	keep 13:16	9:19 10:2
easily 12:18	facility 9:15	H	2:10,13 3:5	kept 13:19	mark 8:9
economic	fact 9:19	handful 4:10	3:8,13 4:4		matter 1:5
3:13	Federal 4:6	handling	4:11,17,24	L	3:5
effectiveness	feel 11:21	13:24	5:2,24 7:17	<b>Lake</b> 13:17	may 5:13 6:2
10:6	few 10:17	happen	8:20,24 9:3	13:19 14:1	7:12,12
		15:17	0.20,219.5	last 6:13,17	,
	l	l		l	

13:13 15:6	10:5,10	part 4:11 9:9	pre-file 6:3	questions	10:4,7,9
15:16 17:6	need 15:24	15:12	pre-filed 4:1	5:13,16	remarks 5:6
mean 6:21	next 8:5,8	participants	7:20 8:10	6:13,17 7:9	remember
15:2	15:23	4:15	9:8	8:17 10:14	14:8
measure	Notary 18:21	particular	prior 5:11	10:18 11:17	removal
14:12	notes 18:11	11:21	problem 11:9	12:10,23	12:22
member 3:17	nothing	PCB 15:13	11:22	13:13 14:24	remove 12:18
3:18,20,22	17:12	per 9:21	Procedure	15:15,21	repeal 4:12
members	noting 10:7	10:21 11:10	16:8	16:14 17:5	REPORT
5:21 8:20	number 8:6,8	percentage	proceed 16:4	17:8	1:10
mentioned	8:9	14:7,9,10	proceeding		reported
10:16		14:11	3:3,18	R	18:8
merits 3:12	O	perhaps	10:17 11:3	<b>R</b> 1:15 2:1	reporter 1:13
5:18	<b>O</b> 1:2,2	12:22 14:3	13:1 16:6	3:9	5:7,10
method 14:6	oath 18:6	period 6:20	proceedings	raise 12:16	16:18 18:7
14:21	off 13:3,6	16:2,16	1:10 15:13	raised 13:20	represent
methods	16:20,23	periods	15:16 18:8	14:3 15:4	10:16
14:16 15:4	officer 1:12	16:11	18:12	15:15	request 13:12
Michigan	3:3 7:1,16	person 5:13	procurement	read 15:14	15:16
13:17,19	8:3,20	6:9	9:5	really 12:10	require 9:16
14:1	officer's 6:1	persons 5:8	produced	reason 9:11	required 4:6
milligrams	okay 6:18	6:3	16:13	12:15,15	requires 16:9
9:21 10:21	7:13 11:1	person's 17:7	proposal	reasonably	response 6:4
11:10	one 5:8 12:22	<b>phase</b> 15:23	3:15 4:4,8	6:14	6:12 13:12
minimum	14:5 15:1,3	plant 9:2	5:19 9:14	reasons 4:4	15:6
10:8	only 6:3	please 5:14	9:20 16:3	9:15	responses
mistake 7:12	operate 9:23	13:13	proposed 9:9	Rebecca 1:12	6:17,20
moment	operates 9:12	<b>point</b> 3:23	9:18 16:9	18:5,15	15:9,15
16:21	operating	4:13 15:21	Protection	receipt 3:12	17:5,7
Monroe	9:18	<b>Pollution</b> 1:3	3:14 16:7	receive 6:8	responsible
18:16	<b>opinion</b> 8:11	2:2 3:5 8:20	public 5:11	12:8 16:10	9:4
monthly	10:7	portfolio	6:16 12:1,3	recent 14:15	responsive
11:11	opportunity	15:7	12:6,8	recognize	17:8
moot 10:5	8:23 10:12	posed 6:17	16:10 17:3	10:6	result 16:13
more 15:6	order 6:2 8:11 10:8	position 9:7	18:21	recognized	review 1:6
morning 3:1	16:15	10:13	publication	10:1	3:6 4:6
8:19		post 16:1,16	16:11	record 5:20	right 3:16,19
most 9:21	orders 6:2,4 original	Power 2:10	<b>publish</b> 16:9	7:21 8:4 11:21 13:4	12:16
14:15 15:9	10:24 11:2	4:24 5:2,24	16:15	13:6,8 15:2	Room 1:15
much 11:19	15:7	7:18 8:24	put 15:9	16:20,23	rule 9:18
11:23 17:13	other 4:14	preference	<b>putting</b> 11:3	17:1	rulemaking
<b>must</b> 16:6	5:21 6:9	9:23 10:2	11:20	regard 14:5	9:9 16:6
N	11:17 13:13	prejudgment 5:18	0	regard 14.3	rules 9:13
$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ 2:1	15:20		quality 1:6	10:20 11:4	16:9
name 3:1	over 11:9	present 5:21	3:6 4:9 9:10	register	run 11:9
8:21		6:9,20 7:2 8:16 12:1	question 8:12	16:10	<b>R11-18</b> 1:7
necessarily	P	presented	12:20 13:1	regulatory	S
15:14	<b>P</b> 2:1,1	10:3	13:15,22	3:14	S 2:1
necessary	<b>Page</b> 9:14	presently 3:4	14:3,4,14	<b>relief</b> 9:16	save 8:9
	papers 12:14	Presently 3.4			
					l
			The same and the s		

saying 15:17	7:7 8:7	6:1	11:16,23	understan	15:1
says 18:6	14:17,19	<b>Street</b> 18:16	14:22 17:11	12:15 13:16	Williamson
scheduled	sort 16:16	strong 10:22	17:13	understood	9:3
3:4	source 10:9	study 11:7	their 12:18	7:1	wish 15:13
Schiff 2:6	sources 10:3	submit 8:23	15:14	until 5:14	17:6
7:17	south 9:2	Subparts 1:8	thing 15:1	update 15:2	wishes 6:9
scientist 3:19	Southern	3:8	things 6:22	updated 4:8	witness 5:14
Sears 2:7	2:10 4:24	SUBSCRI	6:22	updates 4:11	8:18 11:17
Seated 3:16	5:2,23 7:17	18:19	Thompson	used 14:6,13	witnesses
3:18	8:24	suggesting	1:15	USEPA	5:10
second 3:3	speak 5:8,9	15:7	though 7:4	14:20	word 14:18
13:4	speaking	suggests	thought 6:24	using 14:20	work 9:5
Section 3:9	5:16	10:20	7:7 12:16		written 13:10
4:12	specific	<b>Suite</b> 2:13	three 5:21	V	wrong 7:10
see 5:7	13:12	18:16	time 5:9 9:22	versus 13:24	
seem 11:17	specifically	summary	<b>timing</b> 6:22	very 11:19,22	Y
seen 12:24	13:10	8:16	today 5:4,8	11:23 17:13	years 9:6
September	Springfield	suppliers	5:21 6:11	violate 11:12	
17:10,11	4:22	12:18	7:18 8:16	vis-a-vis 14:1	
set 15:24	<b>SS</b> 1:1 18:1	supplies	15:24	vocally 5:15	<b>Zalewski</b> 2:5
shock 15:18	<b>staff</b> 5:17	12:19 13:18	today's 3:11	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$	3:17,22,24
shorthand	8:21	<b>sure</b> 5:19	16:14		<b>Zeman</b> 4:21 4:21
1:13 18:8	standard	swearing	together 15:9	<b>WAD</b> 14:7,9 <b>wait</b> 5:14	4:21
18:10	9:10,13,21	12:7	told 12:13	want 15:17	0
Sierra 2:15	9:24 10:20	sworn 5:10	total 14:9,12	want 13.17 wanted 3:23	084-004659
4:19 10:16	10:22,24	5:12 8:18	Tower 2:7	water 1:6 3:6	18:17
12:5	11:2 12:17	18:6,19	toxicity 14:13	4:7,7,9 9:10	
since 10:1	13:21	T	transcribed	12:16,17,19	1
12:6	standards		5:7	13:18,20	<b>11-18</b> 3:10
single 8:13	1:6 3:6 4:6	taken 1:12	transcript	14:2	<b>11:00</b> 1:16
SIPC 6:6	4:9 13:17	18:11	12:21 18:10	week 14:10	<b>14</b> 16:17
7:19 9:5,17	13:19 14:1	taking 18:9 technical	transcripts	14:11	14th 6:2
9:20 10:8	14:2	8:21	16:17	well 4:12	<b>1664</b> 2:13
SIPC's 9:2	stands 14:8	6.21 <b>terms</b> 9:24	triennial 1:6	7:11 12:9	<b>18</b> 9:6
9:11,12,18	start 5:16	terms 9.24 test 11:7	3:6 4:5	13:12	<b>19th</b> 17:7
9:23 10:1,2	State 1:1,14	testimony	true 18:10	went 6:16	<del></del>
10:6,13	18:1	3:12 4:1	trying 14:8	were 7:1,8	2 2 2 1 4
situation 10:12 11:20	stated 4:5 7:16 12:14	5:11,14,18	15:8	12:13 13:19	2nd 3:14
solve 11:21	7:16 12:14 17:4	5:23 6:3,3,4	two 3:4 typical 14:9	15:8	<b>2-025</b> 1:15
some 5:5	statement 4:3	6:8,8,9 7:20	16:16	West 2:12	<b>2007</b> 18:16
6:12 12:4	9:14	7:23 8:10	typically	18:16	<b>2010</b> 3:14
12:24 15:13	statements	8:10,16,23	14:7,10,11	we'll 5:22,23	<b>2011</b> 1:4,17
15:16 16:15	17:8	9:8 12:2,8	17./,10,11	8:9,17 13:7	6:5 18:20
something	Station 9:5	testing 14:13	U	16:20,24	<b>258-4720</b> 2:8 <b>26</b> 1:4
15:5	9:12,15,19	thank 3:24	under 9:13	we're 15:5	26 1:4 26th 1:17
somewhat	10:2	4:20 7:13	9:24 11:9	we've 16:5	20th 1.1/
13:11	steps 16:7	7:15 8:2,5	16:7,8	17:2	3
soon 15:11	still 9:22	8:14,15,22	understand	Williams	3rd 6:2
sorry 6:24	stm 9.22 streamline	10:11 11:14	11:8	4:16,16	<b>302</b> 1:8 3:8
5511, 5.2	JA DWARRING			6:19 7:3,11	
L				I .	

	ı	1	ı	ı	rage 2.
4:11					
303.312 1:8					
3:9 4:12					
<b>31</b> 9:14					
<b>312</b> 2:8					
<b>35</b> 1:7 3:7					
4:11 16:11					
***************************************					
5					
<b>5th</b> 6:5					
<b>53</b> 2:12					
6					
<b>60603</b> 18:16					
60604 2:13					
606062:7					
6600 2:7					
7					
<b>7.6</b> 9:21					
10:21 11:10					
773 2:14			:		
7732.14					
8					
8 8:6,9					
818-4825					
2:14					
2.14					
9					
9th 17:9,11					
<b>92-10</b> 8:12					
92-10 6.12					
<u> </u>				1	